

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 VIENNA 04329 01 OF 03 241213 Z

41

ACTION MBFR-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-12 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-02 INR-10

L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-12

NEA-10 GAC-01 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 AEC-11 AECE-00

ACDA-19 OMB-01 RSR-01 /153 W
----- 023111

P R 241055 Z MAY 73

FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8973

INFO SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

MBFR CAPITALS 496

USMISSION GENEVA

USNMR SHAPE

USLOSACLANT

USCINCEUR

USDOCOSOUTH

USDEL SALT TWO II

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 3 VIENNA 4329

GENEVA FOR DISTO

FROM US REP MBFR

EO 11652: GDS

TAGSC PARM

SUBJ: MBFR: AD HOC GROUP MEETING 23 MAY 1973

1. SUMMARY: GROUP RECEIVED REPORTS OF 22 MAY MEETING OF UNOFFICIAL EAST/ WEST DRAFTING PANEL, INFORMAL EVENING SESSION ON SAME DAY OF UK, FRG, NETHERLANDS, US , SOVIETS AND CZECH REPS, AND INFORMAL MEETING ON 23 MAY OF US AND SOVIET REPS. IN MAY 22 SESSION, ALLIED AND EASTERN REPS CONCLUDED THAT VIRTUAL IMPASSE HAD BEEN REACHED ON AGENDA. DURING LATTER TWO INFORMAL SESSIONS, WESTERN REPS SUGGESTED CONCEPT OF MOVING TO AGREEMENT SIMPLY ON A DESCRIPTION OF THE TALKS TO BE INCLUDED IN A FINAL COMMUNIQUE, LEAVING
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 VIENNA 04329 01 OF 03 241213 Z

FURTHER AGENDA DEBATE TO NEGOTIATIONS. SOVIET REPS DURING 23 MAY SESSION WITH US REP PROPOSED THAT AGENDA DISCUSSION BE COMBINED WITH WORK ON COMMUNIQUE IMPLYING THAT SUCH A COMBINATION WOULD MAKE THE TRANSITION TO COMMUNIQUE MORE ACCEPTABLE TO THEIR AUTHORITIES. AD HOC GROUP AGREED THAT SOVIETS COULD BE TOLD TO SEEK INSTRUCTIONS ON THAT BASIS WITH WARNING THAT WEST HAD NO INTENTION OF AGREEING TO ANYTHING THAT COULD BE SOLD BY THE EAST AS A SHORT COMPREHENSIVE AGENDA. FOLLOWING PLENARY ON AGENDA SCHEDULED FOR 24 MAY IN WHICH EASTERN AND WESTERN REPS WILL REITERATE PRESENTATIONS MADE DURING TWO UNOFFICIAL PANEL SESSIONS, NO FORMAL AD HOC GROUP OR EAST/ WEST MEETINGS ARE SCHEDULED PENDING SOVIET RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS, ANTICIPATED ON 28 MAY. END SUMMARY.

2. BILATERALS. A NUMBER OF REPS HAD BEEN APPROACHED BY ROMANIAN REP (CONSTANTINESCU) WHO IS MAKING THE ROUND OF THE WESTERN DELEGATIONS TO PRESENT ROMANIAN VIEWS ON AGENDA AND MODALITIES. THE GREEK REP (SEKERIS) REPORTED THAT IN ADDITION TO EXPOUNDING WELL- KNOWN ROMANIAN VIEWS ON AGENDA, CONSTANTINESCU'S COMMENTS INDICATED THAT HE APPARENTLY HAD ADVANCE KNOWLEDGE OF THE ALLIED DESIRE TO MOVE FROM AGENDA TO COMMUNIQUE. SEKERIS EXTRAPOLATED THAT THE SOVIETS PROBABLY HAD KNOW AS WELL. HE FURTHER ESTIMATED THAT THE SOVIET UNWILLINGNESS TO HAVE A REAL GIVE AND TAKE DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA WAS THAT THEY WERE WAITING FOR THE ALLIED MOVE TOWARD COMMUNIQUE DRAFTING -- A MOVE WHICH GREEK REP THOUGHT SOVIETS WOULD BUY. GREEK REP ADDED THAT ALTHOUGH MANY OF CONSTANTINESCU'S GENERAL VIEWS WERE NOT ACCEPTABLE TO WEST, HIS VIEWS ON THE PROBLEM OF THE FLANKS, PARTICULARLY REGARDING NONCIRCUMVENTION, WERE SIMILAR TO WESTERN VIEWS. CONSTANTINESCU ALSO SAID THAT REGARD MODALITIES, AND ROMANIAN INTEREST WAS TO KEEP THE PLENARIES FROM BEING EMASCULATED. FOR THE SAME REASON, ROMANIA OPPOSES THE SOVIET CONCEPT OF A SINGLE ORGAN TO HANDLE THE MAIN WORK OF THE NEGOTIATIONS. THE ITALIAN DEP REP (TALIANI) REPORTED A SIMILAR CONVERSATION WITH ROMANIAN DEP REP (POPEESCU) VERY MUCH ALONG ALL THE ABOVE LINES. TURKISH REP (TULUMEN) REPORTED SAME FROM CONVERSATION WITH CONSTANTINESCU. BELGIAN REP (ADRIAENSSEN) REPORTED CONVERSATION WITH CONSTANTINESCU ALONG SAME LINES WITH THE EXCEPTION THAT THE ROMANIAN REP HAD NOT SUGGESTED FOREKNOWLEDGE OF THE ALLIED COMMUNIQUE TACTIC.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 VIENNA 04329 01 OF 03 241213 Z

3. DISCUSSIONS WITH EASTERN REPS ON AGENDA/ COMMUNIQUE. THE GROUND READ TWO REPORTS, A US REPORT ON THE UNOFFICIAL EAST/ WEST DRAFTING PANEL MEETING ON 22 MAY (SEPTTEL), AND A UK ACCOUNT OF AN INFORMAL EVENING SESSION ON THE SAME DAY OF UK, FRG, NETHERLANDS, US, SOVIET AND CZECH REPS (SEPTTEL). THE

ONLY SIGNIFICANT FEATURE OF THE DRAFTING PANEL SESSION WAS THE APPARENT CONCLUSION OF EASTERN AS WELL AS WESTERN PARTICIPANTS THAT THE DISCUSSION HAD JUST ABOUT REACHED ITS LIMITS. THE AD HOC GROUP THEREFORE MOVED QUICKLY TO DISCUSSION OF THE EVENING SESSION AND THE MEETING OF 23 MAY BETWEEN US AND SOVIET REPS. US REP ADDED TO UK ACCOUNT OF EVENING SESSION THAT FOLLOWING UK REP'S PROPOSAL TO THE SOVIETS THAT DISCUSSION OF THE

AGENDA BE POSTPONED UNTIL THE NEGOTIATIONS, SOVIETS HAD ASKED US REP WHAT LANGUAGE ALLIES HAD IN MIND. US REP HAD GENERALLY OUTLINES

COMMUNIQUE PARAGRAPH CONTAINING WHAT ALLIES ENVISIONED AS DESCRIPTION OF TALKS, BASES ON RECENT DISCUSSION IN AD HOC GROUP. TIMERBAYEV TOOK VERY THOROUGHNOTES ON US REP'S COMMENTS.

4. US REP THEN REPORTED ON INFORMAL DISCUSSION HELD AT SOVIET REQUEST ON 23 MAY WITH SOVIET REPS KHESTOV AND MOVCHAN. SOVIETS HAD ASKED IT WEST SHOULD INSIST ON ALL ITS AGENDA POINTS. US REP REPLIED YES. HE TOLD SOVIETS THAT ALLIES ATTACH GREAT WEITHER TO ALL THEIR AGENDA PROPOSALS, AND THAT FROM THE POSITIONS OF THE TWO SIDES IT IS CLEAR THAT IT WOULD TAKE A LONG TIME TO AGREE ON ANY KIND OF AGENDA. HE SAID THAT IT IS QUESTIONABLE WHETHER IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE TO MAKE THE EFFORT. KHESTOV SAID THAT THE SOVIETS HAD INSTRUCTIONS TO NEGOTIATE AN AGENDA. THE US REP SAID THAT THE KIND OF TRANSITION THE WEST HAD SUGGESTED SHOULD NOT BE TOO DIFFICULT FOR THE SOVIET DELEGATION TO JUSTIFY TO THEIR AUTHORITIES. MOVCHAN THEN ASKED WHAT STRUCTURE THE WEST ENVISIONED FOR THE COMMUNIQUE AND THE US REP GENERALLY OUTLINES THE APPROACH THAT HAD BEEN DISCUSSED IN THE AD HOC GROUP (DETAILS IN VIENNA 4268). MOVCHAN SAID THAT COMBINING THE TWO EXERCISE OF AGENDA AND COMMUNIQUE WOULD BE EASIER TO SELL TO HIS AUTHORITIES THAN MOVING ABRUPTLY TO

SECRET
NMAFVVZCZ

ADP000
SECRET

PAGE 01 VIENNA 04329 02 OF 03 241229 Z

40
ACTION MBFR-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-12 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-02 INR-10

L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-12

NEA-10 GAC-01 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 AEC-11 AECE-00

ACDA-19 OMB-01 RSR-01 /153 W

----- 023238

P R 241055 Z MAY 73
FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8974
INFO SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
MBFR CAPITALS 497
USMISSION GENEVA
USNMR SHAPE
USLOSACLANT
USCINCEUR
USDOCOSOUTH
USDEL SALT TWO II

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 3 VIENNA 4329

GENEVA FOR DISTO

FROM US REP MBFR

COMMUNIQUE DRAFTING. HE SUGGESTED IN GENERAL TERMS THAT IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE A COMMUNIQUE PARAGRAPH WHICH: SAID THAT A USEFUL EXCHANGE ON AGENDA HAD TAKEN PLACE; STATED THAT THE NEGOTIATIONS " WOULD FOCUS ON" AN AGREED DESCRIPTION OF THE SUBJECT MATTER; AND PROVIDED THAT EACH SIDE COULD RAISE ADDITIONAL ISSUES DURING THE NEGOTIATIONS. THE US REP SAID THAT HE WOULD HAVE TO REPORT THE SOVIET COMMENTS TO THE AD HOC GROUP. KHLESTOV SAID THAT THE SOVIETS WOULD HAVE TO KNOW IF THE GENERAL APPROACH SOVIETS HAD SUGGESTED WAS ACCEPTABLE TO THE WESTERN ALLIES BEFORE THEY WOULD SEEK INSTRUCTIONS FROM MOSCOW.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 VIENNA 04329 02 OF 03 241229 Z

5. THE SOVIET REPS ALSO RAISED THE QUESTION OF A DATE FOR MBFR NEGOTIATIONS, ASKING WHETHER IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO SET A SPECIFIC DATE. US REP SAID THAT THE WEST WANTED TO STICK TO THE SEPTEMBER/ OCTOBER FRAMEWORK WHICH HAD BEEN PROPOSED BY SOVIET AUTHORITIES IN SEPTEMBER 1972. THE SOVIET REPS ASKED WHETHER THE DATE HAD TO BE INCLUDED IN THE COMMUNIQUE. THE US REP SAID YES. SOVIET REPS SAID THAT THEY " HAD A PROBLEM."

6. NEXT STEPS. THE GROUP THEN MOVED TO A DISCUSSION OF THE PLENARY SCHEDULED FOR MAY 24. US REP SAID AS HE UNDERSTOOD THE PROCEEDINGS, THE CANADIAN AND NETHERLANDS REPS ON THE WESTERN SIDE AND THE POLISH AND CZECH REPS FOR THE EAST WOULD REPEAT THEIR PRESENTATIONS MADE DURING THE UNOFFICIAL PANEL SESSIONS. THERE WOULD BE NO POLEMICS AND THE EAST WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF THE WEST DID NOT ATTEMPT TO CLOSE OFF FURTHER DISCUSSION OF AGENDA WHILE THE SOVIETS WERE SEEKING INSTRUCTIONS. US REP POINTED OUT THAT PURPOSE OF PLENARY WOULD BE TO GIVE THE STATEMENTS OF BOTH SIDES SOME STANDING BY PRESENTING THEM

AND CIRCULATING TEXTS. VARIOUS REPS COMMENTED THAT THE ROMANIAN REP APPARENTLY DID NOT INTEND TO MAKE A STATEMENT DURING THE PLENARY. IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION BY THE CHAIRMAN (TULUMEN, TURKEY), US REP SUGGESTED THAT, FOLLOWING PLENARY AND SCHEDULED US RECEPTION AFTERNOON OF 24 MAY, NO FORMAL AD HOC GROUP OR EAST- WEST MEETINGS BE SCHEDULED PENDING SOVIET RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS, ANTICIPATED ON 28 MAY.

7. US REP ADDED, HOWEVER, THAT ALLIES HAD TO GIVE SOVIETS SOME ANSWER ON THEIR SUGGESTION. HE SAID THAT HE THOUGHT THE ALLIES COULD SAFELY TELL THE SOVIETS TO SEEK INSTRUCTIONS ALONG THE LINES SUGGESTED BY MOVCHAN. THE WEST WOULD HAVE TO BE CAREFUL IN DRAFTING THE COMMUNIQUE THAT THE LANGUAGE AVOIDED THE APPEARANCE OF AN AGENDA, BUT US REP THOUGHT THAT THIS COULD BE DONE. HE THOUGHT THAT THE APPROACH, IF APPROVED BY MOSCOW, WOULD GET THE SOVIETS ON BOARD FOR THE PRESENT ALLIED PROPOSAL AT A MINIMAL COST. THE UK REP AGREED, NOTING THAT HE HAD EXPECTED THE SOVIETS TO TRY TO KEEP THE AGENDA AT LEAST AVAILABLE FOR STALLING PURPOSES IF THEY DESIRED. HE SAID, HOWEVER, THAT THE SOVIETS SHOULD AT THE SAME TIME BE TOLD THAT THE WEST HAD NO INTENTION OF AGREEING TO AN ABBREVIATED AGENDA IN ANY SHAPE OR FORM. US REP ADDED THAT KHLESTOV HAD SUGGESTED THAT THE COMMUNIQUE BE BASED ON A DOCUMENT APPROVED IN A PLENARY

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 VIENNA 04329 02 OF 03 241229 Z

SESSION, POSSIBLY ACCOMPANIED BY STATEMENTS FROM EITHER SIDE.

8. THE FRG REP (RUTH) OPINED THAT THE SOVIET APPROACH COULD MESH WITH THAT PROPOSED BY THE ALLIES. HE SECONDED UK REP' S SUGGESTION THAT SOVIETS BE PUT ON WARNING ABOUT ALLIED AGENDA INTENTIONS. HE ADDED THAT A STRIKING CONCLUSION FROM THE BRANDT- BREZHNEV MEETINGS WAS THAT THE SOVIETS APPARENTLY ARE MORE FLEXIBLE REGARDING MEASURES OTHER THAN REDUCTIONS THAN THE ALLIES HAD PREVIOUSLY IMAGINED. GREEK REP ALSO SUPPORTED ACCEPTANCE OF MOVCHAN APPROACH. HE ADDED THAT UNILATERAL STATEMENTS IN A FINAL PLENARY WOULD GIVE WEST CHANCE TO PUT ON RECORD FACT THAT AGENDA HAS NOT BEEN SETTLED. US REP NOTED THAT ANOTHER BUILT- IN PROTECTION WOULD BE THAT THE WEST WOULD PROBABLY BE PROVIDING THE TEXT OF ANY PARAGRAPH SUCH AS THAT SUGGESTED BY MOVCHAN, AND COULD KEEP AWAY FROM IMPLICATION THAT DESCRIPTION IS AGENDA IN DISGUISE. GROUP CONSENSUS WAS TO TELL SOVIETS TO SEEK INSTRUCTIONS ON THE BASIS OF MERGING AGENDA AND COMMUNIQUE DISCUSSIONS.

9. BELGIAN REP (ADRIAENSSEN) THEN PRESENTED MILDLY WORDED CRITICISM ON USE OF UNOFFICIAL WORKING PANELS INSTEAD OF PLENARIES. HE ARGUED THAT THE PLENARY ON 24 MAY WILL MARK NO PROGRESS BECAUSE IT WILL SIMPLY RECORD WORK DONE IN THE INFORMAL SESSIONS. HE ADVOCATED THAT THE ALLIES PREPARE A COMMUNIQUE TEXT TO TABLE DURING THE 24 MAY PLENARY. THE US AND FRG REPS BOTH ADVISED AGAINST TABLING SUCH A

DOCUMENT THAT REQUIRED FURTHER WORK AND REFLECTION IN THE AD
HOC GROUP. THE NETHERLANDS REP (QUARLES) ARGUED THAT ALTHOUGH
THE UNOFFICIAL PANELS MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN THE MOST
EFFICIENT APPROACH, THEY WERE AT LEAST BETTER THAN TRYING
TO WORK IN FULL PLENARIES. IN ANY CASE, HE SAID, THE WEST
NOW HAS TO WAIT FOR MOSCOW' S DECISION ON THE SHIFT TO
COMMUNIQUE.

10. THE CHAIRMAN ASKED WHAT THE WEST COULD DO IF THE SOVIETS

SECRET
NMAFVVZCZ

ADP000
SECRET

PAGE 01 VIENNA 04329 03 OF 03 241247 Z

42
ACTION MBFR-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-12 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-02 INR-10

L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-12

NEA-10 GAC-01 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 AEC-11 AECE-00

ACDA-19 OMB-01 RSR-01 /153 W
----- 023396

P R 241055 Z MAY 73
FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8975
INFO SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
MBFR CAPITALS 498
USMISSION GENEVA
USNMR SHAPE
USLOSACLANT
USCINCEUR
USDOCOSOUTH
USDEL SALT TWO II

S E C R E T SECTION 3 OF 3 VIENNA 4329

GENEVA FOR DISTO

FROM US REP MBFR

RESPONSE WAS NEGATIVE. US REP SAID THAT IT WAS POSSIBLE THAT
THE SOVIETS IN THAT CASE WOULD TRY TO GAIN ACCEPTANCE FOR THEIR
AGENDA BY ADDING ONE OR TWO OF THE ALLIED AGENDA POINTS AS
SUBSIDIARY ITEMS. THE ITALIAN DEP REP SAID THAT ALTHOUGH HE
WAS IN SYMPATHY WITH THE BELGIAN REP' S DESIRE TO MOVE THE WORK
INTO PLENARIES, HE DID NOT THINK IT WOULD BE PRACTICABLE TO

TABLE A COMMUNIQUE TEXT UNTIL EARLY NEXT WEEK. HE THOUGHT THAT THE SOVIET INSTRUCTIONS WOULD BE FAVORABLE, ALTHOUGH HE ACCEPTED THE POSSIBILITY THAT THEY COULD TRY TO DRAG THINGS OUT AND TRY TO GET MAXIMUM GAINS BY FATIGUING THE WESTERN DELEGATIONS. THE UK REP SUPPORTED ITALIAN DEP REP'S RECOMMENDATION FOR TIMING OF TABLING OF COMMUNIQUE DRAFT. HE REITERATED THAT IT WOULD

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 VIENNA 04329 03 OF 03 241247 Z

BE UNACCEPTABLE TO HAVE AN EMASCULATED AGENDA, AND THAT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO MAKE THIS POINT TO THE SOVIETS. HE THOUGHT THAT IF THE SOVIET RESPONSE SHOULD BE NEGATIVE, THE ALLIES WOULD HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO CONTINUE SLOGGING THROUGH A DISCUSSION OF THE AGENDA. HE CONCLUDED THAT UNLESS THE ALLIES APPLY LEVERS OUTSIDE OF THE VIENNA TALKS THIS DISCUSSION OF AGENDA COULD GO ON AND ON.

11. THE US REP, IN RESPONSE TO THE SENTIMENT OF A FEW REPS FAVORING CONCENTRATION ON PLENARIES AS THE PRIMARY MODE OF THE TALKS, NOTED THAT A NUMBER OF PLENARIES HAD ALREADY BEEN HELD AND OTHERS WOULD COME, BUT THAT PROGRESS REQUIRES THE USE OF LESS FORMAL MECHANISMS AS WELL. THE CHAIRMAN ASKED THE US REP IF HE THOUGHT THE SOVIETS WOULD OBJECT IF THE WEST TABLED A DRAFT COMMUNIQUE ON 24 MAY. THE US REP RESPONDED THAT THEY ALMOST CERTAINLY WOULD REGARD SUCH AN ACT AS A BREACH OF THE RULES OF THE GAME. THE AD HOC GROUP AGREED WITH THE WISDOM OF AWAITING SOVIET RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS.

12. THE FRG REP THEN SUGGESTED A FORMULATION, QUICKLY ACCEPTED BY THE GROUP, OF THE CURRENT ALLIED POSITION FOR USE DURING THE US RECEPTION ON 24 MAY AND IN ANY OTHER INFORMAL CONTACTS IN THE COMING DAYS. WESTERN REPS WOULD TELL EASTERN REPS THAT THE ALTERNATIVE TO THE CURRENT ALLIED PROPOSAL OF MOVING TO COMMUNIQUE IS NOT IN ANY KIND OF ABBREVIATED OR SURROGATE AGENDA. THE ALTERNATIVE WHICH THE ALLIES WILL CHOOSE IF THE EAST DOES NOT ACCEPT THE ALLIED APPROACH IS A RETURN TO INSISTENCE ON ALL OF THE ALLIED AGENDA ITEMS.

13. THE GROUP DISCUSSED BRIEFLY THE COMMUNIQUE TO FOLLOW ON THE 24 MAY PLENARY, CONCLUDING THAT A BLAND REPORT OF CONTINUING AGENDA DISCUSSIONS WOULD FILL THE BILL. THE GROUP DECIDED TO POSTPONE FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF THE PREVIOUS WEEK'S CHAIRMAN'S REPORT, BECAUSE OF CHAIRMAN'S ILLNESS (PORTUGUESE REP CONDE HAD SLIGHT HEART ATTACK IN AD HOC MEETING MAY 23) POSSIBLY COMBINING IT WITH NEXT WEEK'S REPORT TO THE NAC. THE FRG REP REPORTED THAT HE WOULD OBTAIN A DETAILED REPORT OF THE BRANDT-BREZHNEV SESSIONS ON MBFR, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO ANY INFORMATION THAT MIGHT BE USEFUL IN THE ALLIED ATTEMPT TO GET AN AGREED COMMUNIQUE CONTAINING A DESCRIPTION OF THE TALKS THAT COVERS ALLIED DESIDERATA. IN RESPONSE TO A QUERY, HE SAID

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 VIENNA 04329 03 OF 03 241247 Z

THAT GROMYKO BUT NOT BREZHNEV HAD REFERRED TO THE NEED TO
COMPLETE THE THIRD PHASE OF CSCE BEFORE THE START OF MBFR
NEGOTIATIONS.

14. THE NEXT AD HOC GROUP MEETING WAS TENTATIVELY SCHEDULED
FOR 1530 ON 28 MAY. HUMES

SECRET
NMAFVVZCZ

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** SECRET

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts:
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 24 MAY 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973VIENNA04329
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: RR
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730554/aaaajtqj.tel
Line Count: 396
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION MBFR
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 07 SEP 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <07-Sep-2001 by cunninfx>; APPROVED <26 FEB 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> gwr 980305
Subject: MBFR: AD HOC GROUP MEETING 23 MAY 1973
TAGS: PARM, AU
To: GENEVA
MBFR
MBFR CAPITALS 496
SECSTATE WASHDC
USCINCEUR
USDOCOSOUTH
USLOSACLANT

USNMR SHAPE

WASHDC

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005